

**QUESTIONS/COMMENTS FROM INDUSTRY ON THE FINAL RFP FOR THE WEST VALLEY PHASE 1
DECOMMISSIONING – FACILITY DISPOSITION PROCUREMENT AND THE GOVERNMENT’S RESPONSES**

No.	Final RFP Section	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
281.	C.1.3 C-10	Please provide a full inventory of software systems and versions at WVDP that will remain on site following award. In addition, please provide a list of those software systems that are proprietary and which will be removed by the incumbent contractor.	The most current software has been made available on the West Valley Phase 1 Decommissioning – Facility Disposition web site. The software is DOE Property and will be available for the contract.
282.	C.2.0 C-18	Site Operations and Maintenance, Item d) includes “monitoring and repair of erosion and related control structures for WVDP facilities.” Costs for erosion related control and repairs could be large and are undefined. In fact, the USACE is currently assessing Lagoon 3 and the dams. Please provide a plug number for these costs, since any selected contractor would incur the same costs.	Plug numbers to armor and protect the NDA North Slope to meet the intent of NCR NUREG-1623 and to repair the reservoir, emergency spillway and dam system will be provided in Amendment 002.
283.		Can we get current radiation survey data for all areas that are part of the scope?	Recent Radiation and Contamination Survey Reports for the MPPB have been made available in the Documents Library of the West Valley Phase 1 Decommissioning – Facility Disposition web site.
284.	Section C.6.6	Please provide most current drawings for the Main-2 Warehouse, including the Waste Management Staging Area.	The most current drawings for the Main-2 Warehouse, including the Waste Management Staging Area have been made available on the West Valley Phase 1 Decommissioning – Facility Disposition web site.
285.	Section C, Attachment C-2	Please provide the most current drawings for the Vitrification Test Facility building.	The most current drawings for the Vitrification Test Facility building have been made available on the West Valley Phase 1 Decommissioning – Facility Disposition web site.
286.	Section C.2.0	Site Operations and Maintenance, Item d) includes “monitoring and repair of erosion and related control structures for WVDP facilities.” Costs for erosion related control and repairs could be large and are undefined. In fact, the USACE is currently assessing Lagoon 3 and the dams. Since engineers have not yet investigated the site, no bidder can accurately estimate the cost of stabilization that will maintain service for 20 years. Please provide a plug	Plug numbers to armor and protect the NDA North Slope to meet the intent of NCR NUREG-1623 and to repair the reservoir, emergency spillway and dam system will be provided in Amendment 002.

No.	Final RFP Section	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
		number for use by all bidders.	
287.		<p>The cost assumptions (Attachment L-11) states that waste may be disposed at NNSS at a rate of \$14.51/ft³; however per L.5 instructions, we are not to include any NNSS disposal costs in our estimated costs. It further states that DOE will add costs associated with NNSS disposal as part of the total evaluated price.</p> <p>Attachment L-10 requires that the disposal site/location, waste quantity, disposal rate, and total cost be provided in those tables, which includes NNSS as well any other identified commercial facilities for offsite waste disposal.</p> <p>Our questions related to disposal costs are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are we correct to not include any costs identified in Attachment L-10 related to NNSS disposal in our rollup of estimated costs on the other required cost worksheets? 2. When DOE adds the NNSS costs to our estimated costs to establish the total evaluated costs, does the NNSS disposal costs get evaluated against the annual funding profile provided in the RFP? 3. Given that DOE holds the contracts containing pre-determined rates for commercial disposal (LLW/MLLW), should these costs also be excluded from our cost rollup on the other worksheets? If so, do these commercial disposal costs need to be covered within the annual funding profile? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. Yes, and this is stated in M.4 3. No, LLW and MLLW disposal costs incurred from the use of commercial disposal facilities, regardless of the contract vehicle, must be included in the offeror's cost rollup. Additionally, both commercial and NNSS disposal costs will be included in DOE's most probable cost determination and total evaluated price per Section M.4 of the RFP, and should fit within the annual funding limits.
288.	Section C, Section L, Attachment L-11	(a) Section C, page C-24 of the RFP under the title "Facility Starting Conditions" states: "At least some trace amounts of liquids are expected to be present in all 15 tanks, but the four in the UPC and LWC vessels, are expected to contain a total of 26, 000 gallons.	<p>(a) and (b) See the answer to question #175 in the "Questions and Answers" section of the Documents Library of the West Valley Phase 1 Decommissioning – Facility Disposition web site.</p> <p>The table entitled "Main Plant Process Building - Summary of</p>

No.	Final RFP Section	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
		<p>(b) Section L, Attachment 11, page 1 states that we are to use the assumptions in L-11 as the starting conditions for the Main Plant Process Building. For example, L-11, page 15 of 22, states that 7D-2 is “flushed, drained, and in place,” while L-11, page 21 of 22 states that Tank 7D-2 contains 5,000 gallons of liquid waste.</p> <p>(c) Section C identifies all of this waste as “legacy waste”</p> <p>(d) Items (a), (b) and (c) contradict the answer to Question 194, provided by DOE on November 18, 2010, which states that “All legacy waste, including TRU waste, is expected to be processed, characterized and profiled, and packaged appropriately for transportation as a starting condition of the contract.”</p> <p>Please clarify whether the four vessels in Uranium Process Cell and the Liquid Waste Cell will contain liquids as a starting condition of the contract and, if so, how much waste is expected to be in those tanks.</p>	<p>Beginning Condition" on pages 13 through 19 of Attachment L-11 in Amendment 001 was included by mistake. Offerors should disregard this table. The table entitled "MPPB Beginning Condition Summary Synopsis" on pages 2 through 12 of Attachment L-11 in Amendment 001 is the correct table. An amendment will be issued correcting this error.</p> <p>(c) The definition of Legacy Waste, per Attachment C-1, is as follows: Any and all wastes in storage prior to July 1, 2011, along with such waste processed during the Interim Endstate Contract into new or existing containers and waste generated from that processing.</p> <p>DOE will revise the following sentence in Section C.9.0 “The waste may be encountered in a liquid, solid, or semi-aqueous state such as sludge, and the Contractor may be required to direct contact handle the waste (i.e. contact-handled (CH)) or the Contractor may be required to handle the waste utilizing remote handled methods (i.e. remote-handled (RH)).” to state “The Contractor may be required to direct contact handle the waste (i.e. contact-handled (CH)) or the Contractor may be required to handle the waste utilizing remote handled methods (i.e. remote-handled (RH)).”</p> <p>(d) Question #194 stated “What is the status of the “estimated waste volumes <u>in storage</u> (emphasis added) on-site at WVDP” presented in Attachment L-11? <u>Can we assume</u> (emphasis added) that it is sufficiently characterized and properly packaged for final shipment and disposal? If not, what further processing, characterization, or repackaging is required? The answer confirms the assumption, however the total of 26, 000 gallons of waste in the four vessels in the Uranium Process Cell and the Liquid Waste Cell should be considered Contract Generated Wastes, i.e. the 26,000 gallons is not considered legacy waste in storage.</p> <p>The four vessels in the Uranium Process Cell and the Liquid Waste Cell are expected to contain a total of 26, 000 gallons.</p>

No.	Final RFP Section	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
289.	November 2010 Walking Tour and Facility Descriptions.	“WVES leases the GLOs on a monthly basis, and it is expected the next contractor will decide to either novate the leases if the GLOs are still needed, or terminate the leases and demobilize the GLOs.” What is the monthly lease agreement and rates for the GLOs?	WVES is leasing 51 GLO units from 8/20/09 through 6/30/2011. The value of this subcontract is \$523,260.00, which includes \$350 per month per unit for 24 months, and \$550 to deliver unit and \$550 to remove unit at the end of the lease, times 51 units.
290.	Section C	Activity 5.0, HLW Canister Storage, requires a new DSA for the project. We assume the DSA should cover the facility changes to the MPPB, new operations in the MPPB, the load out of canisters in the LI/LO facility, transportation of the canisters or casks to the new storage pad, the construction of the new storage pad facility and the operations on the storage pad. Is the above assumption correct? If not, please provide the scope of the DSA that DOE anticipates the offeror will provide.	Yes
291.	Attachment L-11	Attachment L-11 indicates there are 6,900 ft3 of HLW Where is this HLW located? What is the waste form and waste package it is in?	The HLW is located in in the Main Plant Process Building in the HLW Canister Interim Storage System, a.k.a the Chemical Process Cell. The waste form is a borosilicate glass matrix in stainless steel HLW containers.